



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,572	04/19/2004	Lowell L. Wood JR.	SEI-0034-US	3210
80118	7590	08/18/2009	EXAMINER	
Constellation Law Group, PLLC			CAMPBELL, VICTORIA P	
P.O. Box 220			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tracyton, WA 98393			3763	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/18/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/827,572	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WOOD, LOWELL L.
	<b>Examiner</b> VICTORIA P. CAMPBELL	<b>Art Unit</b> 3763

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) VICTORIA P. CAMPBELL. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) James J. Ruttler, Reg. No. 56,919. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 12 August 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,15,67 and 68.

Identification of prior art discussed: Stultz (USPGPub 2002/0156462 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant briefly touched on the above claims as being of greatest concern to the applicant in the amendment submitted July 23, 2009. The examiner agreed to phone applicant if additional discussion was necessary when the time comes to address the amendments in a formal Office Action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

**THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.** (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Nicholas D Lucchesi/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3763